

THE EFFECT OF AUDIT REPORT LAG, AUDIT SWITCHING, DEBT DEFAULT, AND COMPANY GROWTH ON AUDIT OPINION GOING CONCERN (EMPIRICAL STUDY ON INDUSTRIAL COMPANIES LISTED ON THE IDX IN 2019-2023)

Titi Wulan Purnama¹, Teguh Budi Raharjo², Baihaqi Fanani³

Faculty of Economics and Business, Pancasakti University Tegal.

Email: titiwulanpurnama@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This study aims to determine the Effect of Audit Report Lag, Audit Switching, Debt Default and Company Growth on Audit Opinion Going concern in Industrial Sector Manufacturing Companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) in 2019-2023. A sample of 26 companies multiplied by 5 years into 130 research samples, using the purposive sampling method. The data analysis technique uses the logistic regression analysis method. The results of this study show that audit hassle lag, debt default and company growth have a positive effect on audit opinions going concern. Meanwhile, switching audits have no effect on the opinion of going concern audits.

Keywords: *Audit Report Lag, Audit Switching, Debt Default, Company Growth, Audit Opinion Going Concern.*

A. Introduction

The success of a company in maintaining the trust of investors can be evidenced by the number of investors who have invested their funds in the company. To attract investors, good financial statements are needed. Financial statements are very important for investors, it is important to know the company's financial position in good or bad condition, so that investors can consider whether to continue investing or vice versa. For creditors, financial position statements are very important to make decisions to finance the company's operations or the possibility of the company's default. For financial statement management, it is a form of responsibility by the company's management to the company owner in the management of his business (Fachrezi, 2022).

To gain the trust of investors to fund the company, it is necessary to have an external party who checks the correctness of the financial statements. An independent auditor

is needed to examine the company's financial statements. The auditor's independence must have auditor knowledge, and work experience, and be impartial and report the results based on the available evidence. Auditors are required to be independent both physically and mentally in carrying out audit work and providing objective audit opinions (Ilhamsyah et al., 2020).

The phenomenon of going concern has recently occurred in Indonesia. Sourced from the Bisnis.com website (2020), April 2020 PT Bursa Efek Indonesia announced the potential delisting or delisting of shares of PT Triwira Insanlestari Tbk and PT Jakarta Kyoei Steel Works Tbk. Information disclosure on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) The stock exchange authority explained that the stock exchange can delist the shares of listed companies with two conditions.

First, experiencing conditions or events that significantly negatively affect the audit opinion of going concern are recorded both

financially and legally. In addition, the company's going concern status is listed as a public company that cannot show adequate recovery. The factors that affect delisting are, not meeting the minimum financial standards set by the stock exchange, not submitting financial statements, not seeing running business activities, and the company's continuous loss-making situation.

Second, the company's shares are listed as a result of the suspension in the regular market and the cash market are only traded in the negotiation market for at least the last 24 months. Regular markets are markets where stock transactions are carried out continuously during trading hours. Meanwhile, the cash market is a market used to resolve the failure of exchange members to fulfill their obligations in the regular market and negotiation market. With these considerations, the IDX announced that PT Triwira Insanlestari (TRIL) and Jakarta Kyoel Steel Works (JKSW) have been suspended for 12 months and the suspension period will reach 24 months on May 2, 2021.

JKSW management reported that the company stopped production until the first quarter of 2020. The steel producer carried out efficiency actions and maintained other opinions from land asset leases to maintain going concerns (Pratomo, 2020). Companies that do not have good financial statements will suffer a lot of losses. It is important to note well to avoid losses, knowing that the income received is really in accordance with the costs that have been incurred for production (Utami, 2022).

The formulation of the problem in this study seeks to provide empirical evidence related to the influence of audit report lag,

audit switching, debt default and company growth on audit opinion going concern. It is hoped that the results of this research can be useful, especially for investors so that they become information in consideration for investing their funds in the company. For companies, they can find out the factors that affect the going concern audit opinion, and provide significant theoretical benefits to the going concern audit opinion. In addition, for academics and literature, it is hoped that this research can be used as a reference material to be used as a reference material that is further refined in this research that has a connection to the topic of this research.

B. RESEARCH METHOD

This study is a descriptive statistical research with a quantitative approach, the population in this study is industrial sector companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2019-2023 of which there are 63 companies. The number of companies sampled in this study is 26 companies with a research period of 5 years with a total sample of 130 research samples, using the purposive sampling method. The data analysis technique uses the logistic regression analysis method.

C. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

RESULT

a. Descriptive Statistics

Table 1
Descriptive Statistical Test Results
Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Audit Report Lag	130	33	181	95.48	50.375
Audit Switching	130	0	1	.18	.396
Debt Default	130	0	1	.07	.255
Company Growth	130	8713697	1972415602	65804961.76	374752230.08
		41.00	.00	.92	.150
Audit Opinion Going Concern	130	0	1	.08	.268
Valid N (listwise)	130				

Source: SPSS Processing Data Version 25 (2024)

Variable Audit Report Lag (X1)

The maximum value obtained was 181 and the drinking value was 33. With an

average score of 95.48, which means that the company's average when experiencing an audit report lag is 95 days, and a standard deviation of 30.373. Thus, it can be concluded that the attached data is variable data, this is because the standard deviation has a small difference from the mean value. Variable Audit Switching (X2) the mean value or average value has a value of 0.19 which explains the company that changed auditors by 19%, with a standard deviation of 0.396 greater than the average value which shows that the relative data is smaller or less varied. Variable Debt Default (X3) an average value of 0.07 which explains companies that experience negative equity of 7% with a standard deviation of 0.255 greater than the average value which shows that the data is relatively smaller or less varied. The Company Growth Variable (X4) had the highest value of 1.971415602 and the lowest value of -0.8711697410. With an average value of 0.63804981.77 which explains the income ratio of 63% and a standard deviation of 0.3747522201 is smaller than the average value which means the data varies. Audit Opinion Variables Going Concern (Y) the lowest score of 0 and the highest value of 1, followed by an average value of 0.08, namely companies that received a going concern audit opinion of 8% with a standard deviation of 0.268 greater than the average value which means that the data is relatively small or less varied.

Logistic Regression

Logistic regression analysis was carried out to see whether the probability of the occurrence of dependent variables was able to be predicted by the independent variables. This analysis also does not use the assumption of normality and ignores heteroscedasticity (Ghozali, 2015).

1) Overall Model Fit Test Results

This test was carried out by comparing between -2Log Likelihood (-2LL) at the beginning (Block Number = 0) and the nil - 2Log Likelihood (-2LL) at the end (Block Number = 1). If there is a decrease in the value of -2LL between the initial block and the nil of the last block of -2LL, this indicates that the regression model is working well and is acceptable. However, if there is no decrease in value, this shows that the regression model is not performing well. The test results are in tables 2 and 3

Table 2
Iteration History (number block 0)
Iteration History

Iteration		-2 Log likelihood	Coefficients
			Constant
Step 0	1	77.780	-1.692
	2	70.878	-2.291
	3	70.511	-2.470
	4	70.509	-2.485
	5	70.509	-2.485

Table 3
-2 Likelihood Value (-2End)
Iteration History^{a,b,c,d}

Iteration	n	-2 Log likelihood	Coefficients				
			Constant	ARL	SW	DD	PP
Step 1	1	67.386	-2.698	.010	-.290	.987	.000
	2	52.820	-4.595	.022	-.758	1.543	.000
	3	49.055	-6.024	.031	-1.464	1.980	.000
	4	48.245	-6.669	.035	-2.358	2.236	.000
	5	48.042	-6.785	.036	-3.349	2.285	.000
	6	47.971	-6.790	.036	-4.354	2.286	.000
	7	47.944	-6.790	.036	-5.356	2.286	.000
	8	47.935	-6.790	.036	-6.356	2.286	.000
	9	47.931	-6.790	.036	-7.357	2.286	.000
	10	47.930	-6.790	.036	-8.357	2.286	.000
	11	47.930	-6.790	.036	-9.357	2.286	.000
	12	47.929	-6.790	.036	10.357	2.286	.000
	13	47.929	-6.790	.036	11.357	2.286	.000
	14	47.929	-6.790	.036	12.357	2.286	.000
	15	47.929	-6.790	.036	13.357	2.286	.000
	16	47.929	-6.790	.036	14.357	2.286	.000
	17	47.929	-6.790	.036	15.357	2.286	.000
	18	47.929	-6.790	.036	16.357	2.286	.000
	19	47.929	-6.790	.036	17.357	2.286	.000
	20	47.929	-6.790	.036	18.357	2.286	.000

The results show that there is a decrease in the value between table 2 and table 3, which shows that the hypothesized model fits with the data, so that the model is able to predict the existence of an audit opinion going concern.

2) Data Model Feasibility Test

This study uses a feasibility test of the homes and lomeshow model

with a homes and lomeshow value criterion < 0.05 , which shows that the model cannot determine the observation value. While homes and lomeshows > 0.05 , this shows that the model can accurately predict the value of the data.

Table 4
Praising the feasibility of the model
Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

Step	Chi-square	df	Sig.
1	4.243	8	.835

The results show a significant value of 0.835 which means more than 0.05, which means that the data is worthy of analysis using loistic regression, and the data is able to predict the observation value.

3) Classification Matrix

This table will show the strength of the regression model prediction in predicting the likelihood of getting a going concern opinion in industrial sector companies during 2019-2023.

Table 5
Classification Matrix

		Predicted		
		OGC		Percentage Correct
Observed		Opini Non Going Concern	Opini Going Concern	
Step 1	OGC Opini Non Going Concern (0)	119	1	99.2
	Opini Going Concern (1)	9	1	10.0
Overall Percentage				92.3

Sumber: data diolah SPSS, 2024

The prediction of companies that did not receive a Going Concern audit opinion (code 0) was 120 companies, while the observation results were 119, so the accuracy of the classification was 99.2% (119/120). Meanwhile, there are 10 companies that received an audit

opinion of going concern (code 1), while the observation results were only 1, so the accuracy of classification was 10% (1/10) or the overall accuracy of classification was 92.3%.

b. Logistic Regression Analysis

Table 6
Logistics Regression Test Results
Variables in the Equation

	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 1: Audit Report Lag	.036	.012	8.972	1	.003	1.037
1: Audit Switching	-18.357	7634.965	.000	1	.998	.000
Debt Default	2.286	1.103	4.297	1	.038	9.839
Pertumbuhan Perusahaan	.000	.000	4.881	1	.027	1.000
Constant	-6.790	1.627	17.424	1	.000	.001

Sumber: data diolah SPSS, 2024

From the output results, the parameter estimation value is based on variables in the equation with the following model:

$$\text{Ln} = \text{GC } 1 - \text{GC} = -6,790 + 0,036 \text{ X1} - 18,357 \text{ X2} + 2,286 \text{ X3} + 0,000 \text{ X4} + e$$

c. Hypothesis

Wald Test Result (t)

Determine whether the model in the t-test affects the relationship between independent and dependent variables by examining the significant values in the model variables in the equation table. If the sig value < 0.05, then the independent variable has an effect on the dependent variable.

Table 7
Wald Test
Variables in the Equation

	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 1: Audit Report Lag	.036	.012	8.972	1	.003	1.037
Audit Switching	-18.357	7634.965	.000	1	.998	.000
Debt Default	2.286	1.103	4.297	1	.038	9.839
Pertumbuhan Perusahaan	.000	.000	4.881	1	.027	1.000
Constant	-6.790	1.627	17.424	1	.000	.001

Sumber: data diolah SPSS, 2024

d. Coefficient of Determination (R2)

In logistic regression testing, Nagelker's R2 statistics were used to measure the ability of the logistic regression model to adjust the data. Nagelker's R Square was a modification of the Cox and Snell's R Square

coefficient to ensure that the value varied from 0 to 1.

Table 8
Coefficient of Determination

Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	47.929 ^a	.159	.381

Sumber: data diolah SPSS, 2024

Based on the results of Nagelker's R Square R2 value in table 4.8 of 0.381 which means that the level of influence is 38.1% by the variables of audit report lag, audit switching, debt default and company growth in industrial sector companies listed on the IDX in 2019-2023, and the rest explained by other variables not included in this study is 61.9%.

DISCUSSION

1. The Effect of Audit Report Lag on Audit Opinion Going Concern

The audit report lag variable (X1) had the result of the wald test (t) tcount greater than the ttable (8.972 > 1.979) and the significance level of 0.003 was less than 0.05. The t-value of 8.972 which indicates a positive direction means that the audit report lag has a positive effect on the audit opinion going concern, so it can be concluded that the hypothesis is accepted. Because in accordance with the hypothesis that has been formulated, namely the audit report lag has a positive effect on the opinion of the going concern audit. The results of this study are in line with the signalling theory, the emphasis on time period in audit report lag plays an important role in the timely presentation of financial statements. Companies that receive a going concern audit opinion require a

longer audit time than companies that receive an audit without qualifications. The longer the time span for completing audit work, the higher the company's audit opinion going concern. This research is in line with research conducted by Margaretha & Hutabarat (2022), Syabania & Fachriyah (2021), Haalisa & Inayati (2021), and Purba & Silaban (2023). Audit report lag has a positive effect on audit opinion going concern.

2. The Effect of Switching Audit on Going Concern Audit Opinion

The variable of audit switching has the result of the wald test (t) tcalculated smaller than the table ($0.000 < 1.979$) and the significance level of 0.998 is greater than 0.05, meaning that the Audit Switching has no influence on the opinion of audit going concern. The t-value of 0.000 indicates a positive direction, then it can be concluded that the hypothesis is rejected. Because it is not in accordance with the hypothesis that has been formulated, namely that switching audits have a negative effect on the opinion of going concern audits. The results of this study are not in line with the signalling theory because management's efforts to avoid the audit opinion of going concern with switching audits have no effect. This is supported by the research of Vania & Nurbaiti (2022), Septiana & Diana (2019), Wijoyo & Simbolon (2022) and Putra & Annisa, (2024) found that Switching Auditors did not have a significant effect on going concern audit opinions.

3. The Effect of Debt Default on Going Concern Audit Opinion

The default debt variable had the result of the wald (t) test tcalculated greater than the ttable ($4.297 > 1.979$) and the significance level of 0.038 was less than 0.05. The t-value of 4.297 indicates a positive direction, meaning that debt default has a positive effect on the audit opinion of going concern, so it can be concluded that the hypothesis is accepted. Because in accordance with the hypothesis formulated, namely debt default has a positive effect on the audit opinion going concern. The results of this study are in line with the signalling theory because when a company has high debts, the cash in the company will be directed to cover the debts owned by the company whose impact will interfere with the survival of the company. And when a company struggles to meet its debts, the auditor will grant a Default status to the company. This research is also in line with the research of Sakti, (2022), Munzir et al., (2023), and Nababan & Nurbaiti (2023) found that significant results mean that debt default has an effect on audit opinion going concern.

4. The Effect of Company Growth on Going Concern Audit Opinion

The company's growth variable had the results of the wald (t) test tcalculated greater than the ttable ($4.881 > 1.979$) and the significance level of 0.027 was smaller than 0.05 (5%). The t-value of 4.881 indicates a positive direction, meaning that the company's growth has a positive effect on the audit

opinion going concern, so it can be concluded that the hypothesis is rejected. Because it is not in accordance with the hypothesis formulated, namely that company growth has a negative effect on audit opinions going concern. The results of the study are in line with the signalling theory because the higher the company's sales growth ratio, the less likely the auditor is to issue an audit opinion of going concern, and vice versa when the growth ratio is low, the greater the auditor will issue an audit opinion of going concern. The results of this study are also in line with Puspamarta & Mundiroh, (2023), Pratiwi & Lim, (2019), and Al et al., (2020), finding that the results of company growth have a positive effect on the audit opinion of going concern.

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

Conclusion

As a result of several tests and analyses carried out, the conclusion obtained is that audit report lag, debt default, company growth have a positive and significant effect on audit opinion going concern. Switching audits have no effect on the going concern audit opinion.

Suggestion

The next researcher is expected to use other types of industries listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange so that the number of company data used as a sample is larger. It is recommended that the researcher then use other variables whose measures are other than dummy variables so that some of the data obtained are not homogeneous.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Al, R., Julianto, W., & Sari, R. (2020). The influence of company size, tenancy audit, and company growth on audit opinion going concern. *Scientific Journal of Unitary Accounting*. 8(3), 349-360.
- Artameviah, R. (2022). The Influence of Brand Image, Price and Promootion on Purchase Decisions on E-Commerce (Study on Shopee Consumers in Jakarta). *E-Journal and Stie Repository*. Jakarta College of Economics.
- Endiana, I. D. M., & Suryandari, N. N. A. (2021). Going Concern Opinion: Reviewed from the Agency of Equity Theory and Triggers. *Journal of Economics and Finance*, 5(2), 224–242. <https://doi.org/10.24034/j25485024.y2021.v5.i2.4490>
- Fachrezi. (2022). The Influence of Debt Default, Audit Tenure, Company's Financial Condition and Disclosure on Audit Opinion Going Concern. *Journal and Repository Untirta*. Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa University Serang Banten.
- Fajrillah, R., & Lestari, I. R. (2023). The influence of financial distress, opinion shopping, debt default and the audit committee on the audit opinion of going concern. *Journal of Accounting, Finance, Taxation and Corporate Governance*, 1(2), 264–273. <https://doi.org/10.59407/jakpt.v1i2.206>
- Fanani, B., Raharjo, T. B., Mubarak, A., Firmansyah, F., & Meilania, V. S. (2023). Analysis of Determinants of Audit Delay in Indonesia. *Atlantis Press International B.V.* https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-068-8_17
- Febrianti, L. M., & Suhartini, D. (2022). The Role of Audit Delay, Debt Default, and Company Growth on Audit Opinion

- Going Concern: Auditor Reputation as a Moderating Variable. *Journal Umpo*. 02(02), 14–27.
- Haalisa, S. N., & Inayati, N. I. (2021). The influence of company size, audit tenure, audit quality, and audit report lag on audit opinion going concern. *Review of Applied Accounting Research (RAAR)*, 1(1), 29. <https://doi.org/10.30595/raar.v1i1.11721>
- Ilhamsyah, H. R., Nopiyanti, A., & Mashuri, A. A. S. (2020). The Influence of Materiality, Auditor Ethics and Auditor Independence on Audit Opinions. *Scientific Journal of Unitary Accounting*. 8(3), 433–440. <https://doi.org/10.37641/jiakes.v8i3.410>
- Kusumawhardany, S. S. (2021). The Effect of Debt Default, Company Size and Financial Statement Disclosure on the Acceptance of Going Concern Audit Opinion (Empirical Study on Manufacturing Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. *Journal of the Jakarta State Polytechnic*. 10(1), 1889–1897.
- Margaretha, J., & Hutabarat, F. (2022). The Effect of Audit Quality, Audit Report Lag, on Audit Opinion Going Concern. *Journal of Management Science and Auntansi*. 13, 87–94. <http://dx.doi.org/10.36694/jimat.v13i1.394>
- Munzir, M., Andriyan, Y., & Hidayat, R. (2023). Consumer Goods: The Effect of Profitability and Leverage on Company Value Mediated by Corporate Social Responsibility. *Journal of Accounting and Governance*, 3(2), 153. <https://doi.org/10.24853/jago.3.2.153-165>
- Nababan, S., & Nurbaiti, A. (2023). The Effect of Managerial Ownership, Disclosure, and Debt Default on the Acceptance of Audit Opinion Going Concern (Empirical Study on Mining Sector Companies in the Coal Sub-Sector Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange for the 2016-2020 Period) The Effect Of. *E-Proceeding of Management*, 10(2), 1175–1183.
- Parhusip, O., Hutasoit, E. F., & Ginting, W. A. (2021). The Effect of Audit Quality, Audit Tenure, Profitability, and Company Growth on Audit Opinion Going Concern in Mining Companies Listed on the IDX in 2016-2019. *Journal of Economic, Business and Accounting (COSTING)*, 5(1), 665–672. <https://doi.org/10.31539/costing.v5i1.2289>
- PPM SoM. (2022). Basic Principles of Accounting, Let's Know. Ppm School Of Management Inspiring Information. <https://ppmschool.ac.id/prinsip-dasar-akuntansi/>
- Pratiwi, L., & Lim, T. H. (2019). The influence of company growth, tenure audit and audit opinion of the previous year on audit opinion going concern. *Journal of Financial Research and Accounting*, 4(2), 67–77. <https://doi.org/10.25134/jrka.v4i2.1700>
- Pratomo, M. N. (2020). After a 12-month suspension, the shares of these two issuers will be delisted from the stock exchange. *Bisnis.Com*. <http://bit.ly/AppsBisniscomPS>
- Purba, V., & Silaban, A. (2023). The Effect of Company Size, Audit Tenure, and Audit Report Lag on the Acceptance of Going Concern Audit Opinions on Manufacturing Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2019-2021. *Journal of Tambusai Education*, 7(2), 3665–3671.

- Puspamarta, S. K., & Mundiroh, S. (2023). The Effect of Ownership Structure, Company Growth, and Previous Year's Audit Opinion on the Acceptance of Going Concern Audit Opinions. *Journal of Accounting, Economics and Business Management*, 3(1), 93–101.
- Putra, R., & Annisa, D. (2024). The Effect of Financial Distress, Auditor Switching, and Audit Report Lag on Audit Opinion Going Concern (Empirical Study on Companies Incorporated in Jakarta Islamic Index 70 Period 2018-2022). *ACADEMIC: Economics & Business Student Journal*, 4(1), 217–224. <https://doi.org/10.37481/jmbe.v4i1.696>
- Sakti, T. P. E. (2022). The influence of debt default, audit quality, prior opinion, and company growth on audit opinions going concern. *Innovation Journal of Financial Economics and Management*, 18(2), 385–392. <https://doi.org/10.30872/jinv.v18i2.10622>
- Sari, P. C. (2020). The Effect of Audit Lag, Profitability and Liquidity on Audit Opinion of Going Concern in Manufacturing Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. *Warmadewa Journal of Accounting Research*, 1(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.22225/jraw.1.1.1509.1-7>
- Septiana, I., & Diana, P. (2019). The influence of auditor switching, liquidity, leverage, disclosure and financial distress on the likelihood of receiving an audit opinion going concern. *Journal of Accounting Development*, 6(1), 137–167. <https://doi.org/10.52859/jba.v6i1.45>
- Setiadamayanthi, N. L. A., & Wirakusuma, M. G. (2016). The Effect of Switching Auditors and Financial Distress on Going Concern Audit Opinions on Companies Listed on the IDX in 2014. *E-Journal of Accounting, Udayana University*, 15(3), 1654–1681.
- Syabania, D., & Fachriyah, N. (2021). The Effect of Audit Lag, Company Size, Cap Size, and Audit Opinion of the Previous Year on the Provision of Going Concern Audit Opinion (Empirical Study on Manufacturing Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2016-2019). *FEB Student Scientific Journal*, 9(2), 1–15. <https://jimfeb.ub.ac.id/index.php/jimfeb/article/view/7483>
- Utami, N. W. (2022). Business losses if they do not have financial statements. *Mekari Journal*, December 31, 2022. <https://www.jurnal.id/id/blog/2017-kerugian-bisnis-yang-tidak-memiliki-laporan-keuangan/>
- Vania, E. D., & Nurbaiti, A. (2022). Analysis of the Influence of Sustainability Reporting, Auditor Switching, and Good Corporate Governance on the Provision of Going Concern Audit Opinions (Study on Coal Sub-Sector Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2016-2020). *Journal of Management*, 9(5), 2993. <https://openlibrarypublications.telkomuniversity.ac.id/index.php/management/article/view/18704>
- Widyastuti, A. Y., & Efrianti, D. (2021). The Influence of Company Size, Company Growth, and Previous Year's Audit Opinion on Going Concern Audit Opinion. *Scientific Journal of Unitary Accounting*, 9(3), 621–630. <https://doi.org/10.37641/jiakes.v9i3.1212>
- Wijoyo, A., & Simbolon, R. F. (2022).

The Effect of Switching Auditors and Financial Distress on Going Concern Audit Opinions on Energy Sector Companies in 2017-2021. Adventist

University of Indonesia, 4(5), 5–12.
<https://journal.universitaspahlawan.ac.id/index.php/jpdk/article/view/7533>

